

Water Crisis in Haryana: Current Status and Management Strategies

Dr. Rajender Kumar

Dept. of Geography
Pt. JLN Govt. College, Faridabad



Abstract

Haryana faces a rapidly intensifying water crisis driven by decades of groundwater over-extraction, water-intensive cropping patterns, urbanization, and inadequate wastewater reuse. The state's agricultural and urban demands, coupled with weak enforcement of groundwater regulations and episodic monsoon dependence, have produced falling water tables, "dark zone" districts, and emerging water quality problems. This paper synthesizes recent data and reports to (1) describe the present status of water resources in Haryana, (2) analyse the principal drivers and consequences, (3) review existing management measures and national/state schemes being deployed, and (4) propose an integrated package of supply- and demand-side strategies — technological, institutional and policy — to move towards water sustainability. Key recommendations include accelerated micro-irrigation and crop diversification, large-scale groundwater recharge and treated wastewater reuse, electricity and groundwater governance reforms, and strengthening monitoring, pricing and community participation to ensure long-term water security.

Introduction

Haryana is a predominantly agrarian and rapidly urbanising state in northern India. Its economy, water use patterns and livelihoods are closely linked to irrigation and groundwater. Over the last few decades Haryana's groundwater has been drawn down at unsustainable rates to support high-yield agriculture (rice-wheat system), intensive industries, and growing urban populations. Recognizing the urgency, the state has started policy responses — including integrated water plans and subsidies for micro-irrigation — but the gap between demand and sustainable supply remains large. This paper draws on Central Ground Water Board (CGWB) assessments, state reports and recent media coverage to present a current, evidence-based picture and practical management strategies.

Current status of water resources in Haryana Overall water availability and stress

India as a whole is classified as water-stressed by several measures (per-capita availability falling under thresholds used internationally). Haryana is among the states facing significant stress because of high per-capita demand in agriculture and cities combined with limited renewable supply. While precise district-level numbers vary seasonally,

recent assessments show Haryana experiencing critically high groundwater extraction stages in many districts, with average extraction often exceeding sustainable recharge in large parts of the state.

Groundwater depletion and "dark zones"

Long-term groundwater monitoring indicates systematic and persistent declines in water levels across Haryana. The CGWB and state groundwater cell reports document multi-decadal declines (average annual fall rates in many areas measured in centimetres per year), and several districts have been classified as over-exploited or "dark" where extraction significantly exceeds recharge. Media investigations and RTI responses highlight acute shortages in rapidly urbanising districts; for example, Gurgaon has been reported as a groundwater "dark zone" extracting well above permissible limits. These patterns underscore an unsustainable extraction regime particularly in peri-urban and intensive agricultural districts.

Surface water and canal supply

Haryana receives surface water from rivers (notably the Yamuna and tributaries) and major transfers such as Bhakra Beas canal systems. Inter-state water sharing, legal disputes, and

variability in monsoon rainfall affect surface-water reliability. Many districts are partially dependent on canal supply, but canal coverage and timely delivery are insufficient to fully replace groundwater use, especially in non-irrigated seasons or during drought years. The state's Integrated Water Resources Action Plan recognises the need to better manage canal and surface resources alongside groundwater.

Sectoral demand: agriculture, industry and domestic use

Agriculture is the dominant water user in Haryana. The prevalence of water-intensive crops (basmati/paddy in transplanted rice systems, wheat) and the prevalence of unmetered tubewells have driven large consumptive demand. Industrial clusters and urban expansion (housing, construction, service industries) have further raised domestic and industrial groundwater demand in districts such as Gurgaon and Panipat. Urban water supply networks exist but often rely on a mix of surface water and groundwater; during shortages groundwater fills the gap, reinforcing drawdown.

Water quality concerns

Beyond quantity, quality issues (salinity, nitrate contamination, localized pollutant loads near industrial zones) are emerging in parts of the state. Declining groundwater levels can concentrate salts and other contaminants in the subsurface. Additionally, inadequate wastewater treatment and industrial effluents pose risks to surface and groundwater quality, emphasising the need to integrate water quantity and quality governance.

Causes of the crisis

Water-intensive cropping patterns and agrarian incentives

The dominant rice–wheat cropping cycle, supported historically by assured power for irrigation and procurement policies, encourages paddy cultivation even in regions with marginal water supply. Paddy transplanting in summer is particularly irrigation-intensive and drains groundwater. Price and procurement guarantees (MSP and procurement centres) and subsidy structures have historically distorted farmer incentives away from water

Unregulated groundwater extraction and energy subsidies

Hundreds of thousands of tube wells across Haryana pump groundwater with minimal regulation. Subsidized or free electricity for agriculture in many parts of India (and earlier in Haryana) reduces the incentive to limit pumping or invest in efficient irrigation. Where electricity is unmetered or supply is scheduled in large blocks, farmers have strong economic incentives to draw maximum water when supply is available, accelerating depletion.

Urbanisation, industrial growth and construction demand

Rapid urban growth (Gurgaon, Faridabad, Panipat etc.) creates concentrated water demand for domestic and construction activities. Urban piped supply shortfalls drive reliance on groundwater and tanker supply. Construction activity also leads to increased consumption and sometimes illegal groundwater extraction.

Climatic variability and monsoon dependence

Haryana's rainfall is seasonal and variable. Dependence on monsoon recharge makes groundwater vulnerable to inter-annual variability and droughts. Climate change projections increase uncertainty in rainfall patterns, potentially worsening recharge prospects in some areas.

Institutional fragmentation and weak enforcement

Multiple agencies (irrigation, water resources, pollution control, rural development, agriculture) share responsibilities. Coordination gaps, weak enforcement of groundwater regulations (borewell drilling rules, sealing of illegal wells) and inadequate monitoring infrastructure hinder effective governance. Recent initiatives attempt to address coordination (Integrated Water Resources Action Plan) but implementation challenges remain.

Impacts of the water crisis

Agricultural productivity and rural livelihoods

Falling water tables increase pumping costs (energy), reduce well yields, and raise the risk of crop failure in dry spells. Small and marginal farmers without deep wells suffer disproportionately; some areas face reduced sowing area for rice or delays in irrigation. These

dynamics threaten livelihood security and rural incomes.

Urban water insecurity and social stress

Urban water shortages raise the cost of household water (tanker rates) and create inequities: wealthier residents can buy private supply whereas the poor face shortages. Conflicts over water allocation between urban and rural uses and between industrial and domestic users can intensify.

Environmental consequences

Lowering of water tables can damage wetland ecosystems, reduce baseflow to rivers, and cause land subsidence in extreme cases. Reduced environmental flows affect biodiversity and the health of riparian systems.

Economic costs

Increased energy costs for pumping, investments required for long-distance conveyance or desalting (where applicable), loss of agricultural output and public expenditure to augment water supplies generate significant economic burdens for the state and households.

Review of existing policy responses and schemes

National and state programmes — supply and demand measures

Several central schemes provide the policy architecture and funds for water conservation and efficient use:

- **Pradhan Mantri Krishi Sinchayee Yojana (PMKSY) — Per Drop More Crop (Micro Irrigation):** Promotes drip and sprinkler systems with large subsidies to farmers, improving on-farm water use efficiency. Haryana has implemented PMKSY components to promote micro-irrigation.
- **Jal Jeevan Mission / Jal Shakti programmes:** Focus on drinking water coverage, rainwater harvesting and restoring water bodies (implemented through state mechanisms and local bodies).

At the state level, Haryana has prepared integrated water resource plans, set targets for reducing groundwater deficit, and rolled out awareness campaigns (e.g., “Jal Mitras”), incentives for

micro-irrigation, and measures to encourage rooftop rainwater harvesting. The state government has also proposed institutional coordination mechanisms across departments and is working to clamp down on illegal borewells in critical districts.

Monitoring and classification (CGWB)

The CGWB classifies blocks/districts into categories (safe, semi-critical, critical, over-exploited/dark) and publishes groundwater resource assessments. These classifications provide the evidence base for targeted interventions (borewell drilling restrictions, recharge measures). However, timely enforcement and local monitoring remain inconsistent.

Integrated Water Resources Action Plan (IWRAP)

Haryana's IWRAP — referenced in recent government communications — sets out targets to reduce projected groundwater deficits (e.g., a stated objective to reduce deficit by about 50% by a near-term target year) through a multi-departmental approach combining recharge, reuse, demand reduction and governance measures. The plan prioritises agriculture for most water-saving responsibilities but also acknowledges urban and industrial roles. Implementation complexity and resource needs are significant hurdles.

Management strategies: supply- and demand-side measures

This section synthesises technical and policy interventions that Haryana can adopt or scale up. Literature and policy practice suggest that an integrated approach combining supply augmentation, demand management, governance reform and behavioural change offers the best prospect for sustainable water management.

Demand-side strategies

Accelerate micro-irrigation and cropping transformation

Scaling drip and sprinkler systems reduces on-farm water use intensity. Coupling micro-irrigation with crop diversification incentives (promoting less water-intensive crops such as pulses, oilseeds, and millets in suitable agro-ecologies) and market support

(buyback/processing) can shift production patterns. Subsidies for micro-irrigation exist (PMKSY) but must be targeted to smallholders and combined with extension services to ensure adoption and maintenance.

Electricity reforms and metering of groundwater pumping

Introducing measured, time-of-use electricity tariffs or smart metering for agricultural electricity discourages wasteful pumping. Where politically feasible, moving from flat/free supply to metered or token-based provision — accompanied by compensatory measures for poor farmers — can reduce excessive extraction. Pilot programmes that couple electricity reform with compensation and technical support tend to perform better.

Water-use efficient agronomy and extension

Promote Alternate Wetting and Drying (AWD) for rice, laser land levelling, mulching, and SRI (System of Rice Intensification) practices that reduce irrigation needs. Invest in farmer field schools and extension networks to demonstrate water-saving practices

Demand management in urban and industrial water use

Cities should adopt water-saving building codes, water-efficient appliances, and mandatory reuse of treated sewage for industrial cooling, landscaping and construction. Industrial clusters (Panipat, Sonipat) should be required to treat and reuse process water, with enforcement and incentives for zero liquid discharge where feasible.

Supply-side strategies

Groundwater recharge and rejuvenation of water bodies

Large- and small-scale recharge interventions — recharge wells, percolation tanks, check dams, rejuvenation of ponds and wetlands, and recharge pits in peri-urban areas — can enhance local groundwater replenishment. Target interventions in critical and over-exploited blocks, prioritizing recharge where hydrogeology is favourable. Coupling recharge with safe managed aquifer recharge (MAR) protocols is important to avoid contamination.

Treated wastewater reuse and circular water economy

Expanding sewage treatment capacity and mandating reuse of treated effluents for industrial and agricultural uses can reduce freshwater withdrawal. Decentralised wastewater treatment (DEWATS) for peri-urban and industrial estates offers a practical route to circularity. Policies should prioritise safe reuse standards and incentivise industrial uptake (e.g., cheaper connection charges, buyback of treated water).

Optimising canal and surface water delivery

Improve canal lining, scheduling, and measurement to reduce conveyance losses and improve reliability. Where feasible, surface water transfers to water-deficit blocks, coordinated with recharge plans, can reduce groundwater pressure. Institutional coordination with BBMB and neighbouring states is essential for sustainable surface-water allocation.

Governance, monitoring and institutional reforms

Strengthen groundwater governance and regulation

Improve registration and permitting of wells, enforce restrictions in over-exploited/dark zones, and deploy penalties for illegal extraction. Establish clear local water budgets (safe yield estimates) and tie permissions to recharge obligations (e.g., drillers must provide recharge structures). Transparent public disclosure of block-level status and extraction quotas helps accountability.

Real-time monitoring and data transparency

Deploy telemetry on tube wells, install automated piezometers and build a public dashboard for groundwater levels, extraction rates, and status maps to inform policy and community action. Data transparency supports targeted interventions and creates social pressure for compliance.

Institutional integration and stakeholder participation

Adopt an Integrated Water Resources Management (IWRM) model at district and watershed scales, bringing irrigation, agriculture, urban development, industry and environment departments into joint planning. Empower Panchayati Raj Institutions (PRIs) and urban local bodies with funds and technical support for local water management. Community-managed

recharge and watershed committees can mobilise local resources and ensure maintenance.

Economic and behavioural instruments

Water pricing and incentives

Introduce gradual, equitable pricing for urban piped supply and differentiated charges for industrial and bulk users, while protecting basic lifeline supply for low-income households. For agriculture, consider incentive schemes (payments for ecosystem services) rewarding farmers who adopt water-saving crops or participate in community recharge. Careful design is required to avoid harming poor farmers.

Awareness, education and social norms

Large-scale campaigns (e.g., “Jal Mitras”) combined with school curricula, extension services and citizen science (community water monitoring) change long-term behaviour and build political support for reforms.

Case study highlights

Gurgaon: A Peri-Urban Crisis

Gurgaon exemplifies peri-urban water stress: booming construction and urban population growth have driven groundwater extraction to levels more than double safe limits in some recent assessments, creating acute shortages and enforcement challenges. Experience here shows the need to combine strict enforcement (against illegal extraction), augmentation of piped supplies, rooftop rainwater harvesting at scale, and industrial reuse mandates.

Agriculture-dominated districts: IWRAP priorities

Districts with intensive paddy cultivation and numerous tube wells face the twin task of reducing agricultural water demand while maintaining livelihoods. The state’s IWRAP focuses on such agricultural interventions (micro-irrigation, crop diversification) and on-farm water budgeting to reduce projected deficits. Success depends on delivery of affordable technologies, market linkages and credible alternatives to procurement-driven paddy cultivation.

Recommendations

Based on evidence and best practices, the following priority actions are recommended for Haryana to stabilise and reverse groundwater decline while meeting social and economic needs:

1. **Targeted expansion of micro-irrigation (PMKSY scale-up):** Prioritise smallholders, provide bundled finance and extension support, and link subsidies to performance (water saved).
2. **Large-scale managed aquifer recharge (MAR) programme:** Map hydrogeology, identify recharge hotspots and invest in percolation tanks, recharge wells, and pond rejuvenation at watershed scale.
3. **Tighten groundwater governance:** Enforce well registration, ban new private extraction in critical blocks, deploy telemetry, and prosecute large illegal extractors (including in urban construction).
4. **Electricity reforms for irrigation:** Pilot metering and time-of-use tariffs in selected blocks alongside subsidies for efficient pumps to align electricity pricing with conservation goals.
5. **Scale treated wastewater reuse:** Mandate industrial reuse, expand STP capacity and incentivise decentralised treatment in peri-urban zones to reduce fresh groundwater demand.
6. **Crop diversification packages:** Create procurement and market support for alternative crops suitable to Haryana’s agro-ecologies; pair with insurance and credit help during transition.
7. **Monitoring, transparency and local institutions:** Build a public groundwater dashboard, strengthen PRIs/urban local bodies’ water funds and capacity, and involve communities in monitoring and maintenance.

Implementation challenges and trade-offs

Reforms will face political economy constraints (e.g., resistance to electricity metering, entrenched procurement incentives), technical limits (variable hydrogeology limits recharge potential in some areas), financing needs, and capacity shortfalls in local bodies. Careful sequencing, pilot demonstration projects, compensatory measures for vulnerable households and transparent evidence-based policymaking can mitigate these challenges. Stakeholder engagement and

incremental, measurable targets (paired with accountability mechanisms) increase feasibility.

Conclusion

Haryana's water crisis is real, multi-faceted and urgent. While the state has recognised the problem and initiated integrated planning and demand-side measures, the scale and complexity of the challenge require sustained, coordinated action across agriculture, urban planning, energy and governance domains. A pragmatic mix of micro-irrigation and crop diversification, aggressive recharge and treated wastewater reuse, electricity and groundwater governance reforms, and strengthened local institutions offers a path to stabilise groundwater, secure water for livelihoods and cities, and protect ecosystems. Time-bound implementation, transparent monitoring and social equity safeguards are essential to succeed.

References

1. Central Ground Water Board. (2022). *Ground water year book: Haryana*. Ministry of Jal Shakti, Government of India.
2. Haryana Water Resources Authority. (2023). *Annual report 2022-2023*. Government of Haryana.
3. Haryana Irrigation & Water Resources Department. (2023). *Integrated Water Resources Action Plan (IWRAP) for Haryana*. Government of Haryana.
4. NITI Aayog. (2021). *Composite Water Management Index 2.0*. New Delhi: NITI Aayog.
5. Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare. (2023). *Pradhan Mantri Krishi Sinchayee Yojana – Guidelines*. Government of India.
6. Singh, R. B., & Kumar, M. (2020). Water scarcity and sustainable agriculture in Haryana. *Indian Journal of Environmental Protection*, 40(9), 995–1004.
7. Kaur, G., & Sharma, R. (2019). Groundwater depletion in Haryana: Challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Water and Climate Change*, 10(4), 838–850.
8. Times of India. (2024, April 15). Haryana's groundwater levels continue to fall in most districts. *The Times of India*.
9. The Tribune. (2024, May 10). Gurgaon declared groundwater dark zone, officials mull strict curbs. *The Tribune*.
10. Kumar, A., & Yadav, S. (2021). Climate change and water resources in Haryana: A policy perspective. *International Journal of Rural Development*, 15(2), 45–58.
11. Gupta, P., & Singh, D. (2022). Crop diversification as a tool for water conservation in Haryana. *Agricultural Economics Research Review*, 35(1), 57–69.
12. Jal Shakti Ministry. (2023). *National Water Policy (Revised draft)*. Government of India.
13. Sikka, A. K., & Aggarwal, P. K. (2019). Groundwater recharge and management in north-western India. *Current Science*, 116(4), 556–563.
14. Press Information Bureau. (2023, August 22). Haryana's efforts in micro-irrigation under PMKSY. Government of India.
15. Singh, V., & Kumar, S. (2020). Urban water crisis in Haryana: Issues and management strategies. *Journal of Urban Management*, 9(3), 278–289.